

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.    | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--------------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/462,816         | 04/05/2000  | XIAOMAO LI           | 1038-1003-MI        | 5549             |
| 7590 03/22/2005    |             |                      | EXAMINER            |                  |
| SIM & MCBURNEY     |             |                      | WHITEMAN, BRIAN A   |                  |
| 330 UNIVERS        | ITY AVENUE  |                      |                     |                  |
| 6TH FLOOR          |             |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
| TORONTO, ON M5G1R7 |             |                      | 1635                |                  |
| CANADA             |             |                      |                     |                  |

DATE MAILED: 03/22/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|  | Application No.                  | Applicant(s)                          |  |  |  |  |
|--|----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Communication Re: Appeal   | 09/462,816                       | LI ET AL.                             |  |  |  |  |
| 4,   | Examiner                         | Art Unit                              |  |  |  |  |
|  | Brian Whiteman                   | 1635                                  |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears   | on the cover sheet with the c    | orrespondence address                 |  |  |  |  |
| 1. The Notice of Appeal filed on is not accepta  | ble because:                     |                                       |  |  |  |  |
| (a) it was not timely filed.   |                                  |                                       |  |  |  |  |
| (b)  the statutory fee for filing the appeal was not   | submitted. See 37 CFR 1.17(b     | <b>)</b> ).                           |  |  |  |  |
| (c) the appeal fee received on was not tin   | nely filed.                      |                                       |  |  |  |  |
| (d) the submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. The appeal fee required by 37 CFR 1.17(b) is \$   |                                  |                                       |  |  |  |  |
| (e) the appeal is not in compliance with 37 CFR rejection in this application.   | 1.191 in that there is no record | of a second or a final                |  |  |  |  |
| (f) a Notice of Allowability, PTO-37, was mailed   | by the Office on                 |                                       |  |  |  |  |
| 2. The appeal brief filed on is NOT acceptable   | for the reason(s) indicated belo | ow:                                   |  |  |  |  |
| (a) the brief and/or brief fee is untimely. See 37 CFR 1.192.  |                                  |                                       |  |  |  |  |
| (b) the statutory fee for filing the brief has not been submitted. See 37 CFR 1.17(c).   |                                  |                                       |  |  |  |  |
| (c) the submitted brief fee of \$ is insufficient. The brief fee required by 37 CFR 1.17(c) is \$  |                                  |                                       |  |  |  |  |
| The appeal in this application will be dismissed un brief and requisite fee. Extensions of time may be                                   |                                  |                                       |  |  |  |  |
| 3.   The appeal in this application is DISMISSED beca  | use:                             |                                       |  |  |  |  |
| (a) the statutory fee for filing the brief as required period for obtaining an extension of time to fi                                   |                                  |                                       |  |  |  |  |
| (b) ☑ the brief was not timely filed and the period for obtaining an extension of time to file the brief under 37 CFR 1.136 has expired. |                                  |                                       |  |  |  |  |
| <ul><li>(c) ☐ Request for Continued Examination (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.114 was filed on</li><li>(d) ☐ other:</li></ul>                     |                                  |                                       |  |  |  |  |
| 4.   Because of the dismissal of the appeal, this application  | ation:                           |                                       |  |  |  |  |
| (a) 🗵 is abandoned because there are no allowed claims.  |                                  |                                       |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>(b) is before the examiner for final disposition be<br/>on the merits remains CLOSED.</li> </ul>                                | cause it contains allowed claim  | s. Prosecution                        |  |  |  |  |
| (c) is before the examiner for consideration of the to 37 CFR 1.114.   |                                  | nas been reopened pursuant            |  |  |  |  |
|  | SCO                              | ITT D. PRIEBE, PH.D<br>IMARY EXAMINER |  |  |  |  |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-461 (Rev. 9-00)